



RE: : Lockheed Martin sampling this past weekend 

Deb Yamamoto to: MONROE Sheila

07/11/2012 12:10 PM

Cc: Cami Grandinetti, Carla Fisher, Dan Opalski, Dennis Faulk,
DRUBACK Lissa, Harry Craig, HAYES-GORMAN Linda, Rick
Albright, WILES Wendy

Bcc: Mary Queitzsch

Hi Sheila,

I am relieved to know that DEQ didn't participate in the sampling event. It appears I received some bad information and I apologize for that. As you could tell from my message, I was concerned that there is a breakdown in communications with DEQ about making sure that sampling at the site was done with an approved sampling plan. We did talk about the fact that we LM/Arcadis could go out to the site without our approval and conduct sampling. After the meeting we had with Lockheed Martin June 26 we made it clear to them that EPA wanted sampling conducted under an approved work plan. So we were really surprised to find out they sampled last weekend. We didn't receive a copy of the sampling results but heard verbals in a call with LM/Arcadis yesterday. I also found out Fredrick is here in Seattle at the McCoy RCRA training, which is why I thought we didn't get the results directly from DEQ yet. But it appears from your message that you made a decision not to send it either. That's a moot point now too, since we got verbal results from LM/Arcadis, but, I'm concerned about the concentrations that were measured. They will impact the Health and Safety Plan for the next round of sampling.

We received LM/Arcadis sampling plan Tuesday, July 3. Our comments on LM/Arcadis workplan went out on Monday, July 9. They are attached below. We had a call yesterday with LM/Arcadis on our comments. So Fred didn't participate in the call. In checking with Harry Craig, he hasn't sent EPA's comments to Fred yet nor did he tell Fred about the call. That's probably a moot point since Fred is in training anyway; nevertheless, it was an oversight on our part that DEQ wasn't copied when the comments went to LM/Arcadis and Harry is sending them today. So here's another apology I have to offer. We will do better.

Regarding communications, here's a concern I have from your message. It appears that DEQ hasn't yet commented on the Arcadis work plan. Am I correct? This leads to a "who's in charge" question. Was it DEQ's intent to send comments independently of EPA? For some reason, I had gotten the impression from our discussion on June 29 that EPA was putting in the resources to do the review of the SAP/QAP, and EPA was taking the lead on it. If we haven't yet received your comments and neither has LM/Arcadis, I'm concerned about how/when you will do that, considering the June 25 deadline for a revised work plan. I also want to avoid any potential for sending conflicting comments LM/Arcadis. So getting a better understanding from you of what your intent is here on transmitting comments is crucial to improving communications. We are moving quickly, which can also lead to not communicating well. So I want to make sure we aren't working at cross purposes here.

Will try to call you before our senior managers meet at 1:00.

Deb Yamamoto, Manager
Site Cleanup Unit 2
Environmental Cleanup Office
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
M/S ECL-115
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 553-7216



ArcadisWorkplan-EPA-Review-Comments-July2012.docx

MONROE Sheila

Hello Deb, LM/Arcadis did choose to do their ow...

07/10/2012 04:31:14 PM

From: MONROE Sheila <MONROE.Sheila@deq.state.or.us>
To: Deb Yamamoto/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, DRUBACK Lissa <DRUBACK.Lissa@deq.state.or.us>, WILES Wendy <WILES.Wendy@deq.state.or.us>, HAYES-GORMAN Linda <hayes-gorman.linda@deq.state.or.us>, MONROE Sheila <MONROE.Sheila@deq.state.or.us>
Cc: Rick Albright/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Dan Opalski/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Cami Grandinetti/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Dennis Faulk/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Harry Craig/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Carla Fisher/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 07/10/2012 04:31 PM
Subject: RE: : Lockheed Martin sampling this past weekend

Hello Deb,

LM/Arcadis did choose to do their own screening last Friday or Saturday. They did not have a DEQ-approved sampling plan. Fredrick did not participate. Their sampling was a residual effort of our earlier discussions about trying to get them out there as soon as possible. I believe that during the conference call among Carla, Lissa, yourself and I, we discussed that LM/Arcadis may move forward with the screening monitoring.

I believe that during EPA and DEQ discussions, we agreed that we could not prohibit them from doing their own screening; however, EPA would not accept any of the data that wasn't from an approved SAP/QAP. DEQ did receive a draft copy of the results for their screening. Because the data was draft and I knew that EPA would not accept the data, I did not forward those draft results to EPA.

DEQ will be focusing our efforts on reviewing the formally submitted work plan for air monitoring. I do not perceive this as a breakdown in communications and I think that our actions are consistent with our discussions.

Best regards,
Sheila

From: Deb Yamamoto [mailto:Yamamoto.Deb@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 3:22 PM
To: MONROE Sheila
Cc: Rick Albright; Dan Opalski; Cami Grandinetti; Dennis Faulk; Harry Craig; Carla Fisher
Subject: Re: : Lockheed Martin sampling this past weekend

Sheila,

I just heard from my RPM, Harry Craig, that Lockheed Martin sampled at the site this past week end without an approved workplan. I also heard that Fredrick Moore went with them. I also heard some disturbing news that high concentrations found in vents and vaults that exceed the IDLH. Is this true?

But I'm also concerned that this sampling even happened. When Carla and I talked with you and Lissa the week before last I was very explicit that EPA did not want Lockheed Martin going out to sample at the site without EPA reviewing and approving the work plan first. Yet this is what happened. Without approval of the SAP/QAP, it's not clear how we validate the data, and the data, so I've been told, is alarming. There may be a way to salvage the information, but I'm also concerned that there is a breakdown in the relationship between EPA and DEQ. I'm alerting Dan and Rick because I want to talk about this tomorrow

during the meeting with Wendy Wiles. This is your heads up as well.

Deb Yamamoto, Manager
Site Cleanup Unit 2
Environmental Cleanup Office
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
M/S ECL-115
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, WA 98101
(206) 553-7216